"ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION"

. September 19, 1991

To: Walt Stewart Prom: Terry Vandell

Subject: Meeting Minutes Of The On-Site Washington Works Meeting (September 11, 1991, 9:00 AM-11:00 AM)
Regarding The September 4, 1991 Proposed C-8 Sampling Program

Present: John Doughty, Tony Eichstadt, Wendell Goin, Penny Mahoney, Mike McClusky, Carl Musca, Dave Ramsey, Walt Stewart, Terry Vandell

- o Introduction: Walt Stewart, See Attachment 1
- O Chemical Data Results: Penny Mahoney, See Attachment 2

Key Points: Appendix IX constituent levels and presence are inconsistent under the site, whereas the C-8 presence and levels are much more consistent; C-8 found at low ppb level on-site in wells TW27 & TWW4, but at much higher levels in wells TW32 and TW33 which are closer to the old supernate ponds (the exact quantitative results from wells 32 & 33 are still pending but are believed to be > 1 ppm).

o Historical Data Results: Mike McClusky, See Attachment 3

Key Points: In 1984 C-8 found <1.5 ppb .25 to 1 miles downgradient from Washington Works. No C-8 found 12+ miles downstream. C-8 concentration trends on-site at well TW 27 difficult to analyze due to change in analytical technique. However, data do not indicate large increases in C-8 concentration since 1987, (from 2.0 to 5.9 ppb). Off-site water samples from home taps (i.e. from the existing Lubeck wellfield) indicate C-8 from .7 to 1.9 ppb, with the 1.9 ppb measured from a sample taken on 8/8/91. C-8 was detected in a new well in found in nearby private water wells, however.

O C-8 Test Development: Mike McClusky, See Attachment 4

Key Point: CH2M Hill has been authorized to develop a C-8 detection analytical technique to 0.1 ppb.

- o Proposed & "Revised" Sampling Plan: Terry Vandell, See Attachments 5 & 6.
- Key Points: On-site C-8 travel time from the supernate ponds to the Lubeck wellfield is approximated at 8 yrs.; off-site to the new Lubeck wellfield, the travel time could range from 49 to 117 years, strongly indicating that "IP" C-8 is even present in the new wellfield, that the transport mechanism was not groundwater, but "possibly" the Ohio River. Calculated % of C-8 in the Ohio River is about 1 ppb. 0.5 ppb.

The purpose in conducting the proposed extensive C-8 sampling program is to "verify or dismiss" the presence of C-8 in the new Lubeck Wellfield, and to obtain sufficient river water quality data to address the question of whether the river serves as a transport mechanism for C-8. Such an evaluation of the potential transport mechanisms (by the ground water or river) was discussed and agreed to at the August 14, 1991 meeting in Wilmington (called by Mike Deak).

DI DOO 1

The September 4, 1991 proposed C-8 sampling plan was altered as a result of the September 11, 1991 meeting. The following changes were made:

- 1. The addition of wells TWM4, TW27 and water supply well W331 for C-8 analysis, to compare the historic C-8 results from these wells (TWM4 and TW27) to the results we will obtain from CH2M Hill and the Experimental Station. Well W331 should be tested since it never has been and it is an on-site water supply well, and TW27) Should have relating clearly compared to the compared to the experimental compared to the compared to th
- 2. The deletion of all of the riverbank soil samples, since John Doughty informed us during the meeting that the current analytical technique for C-8 in soils is only accurate for large C-8 concentrations (i.e. uses a simple burn/weight technique to determine the volume of C-8 present in the ppm range). This technique must be refined soon for the EPA VI soil sample analyses...
- 3. The revised Sept. 4, 1991 sampling plan is included as Attachment 5.

MOTZ: Sampling was conducted and completed on 9/11,12 & 13th/91by Jim Yoak, Penny Mahoney, Mina Mazdai, Terry Vandell (of DuPont), with assistance from Bill Packard (Lubeck City). All samples were collected on 9/11 and 9/12 and shipped out on 9/12/91, with the exception of wail TWM4, which was sampled on 9/13/91, with the sample shipped on 9/13/91.

Limited Distribution Only To:

Jim Allen Mike Deak Wendell Goin Carl Musca

ATTACHMENT 1 WALT STEWART

ATTORNEY/CLIENT COMMUNICATION

AIM


To review a proposed sampling plan for C-8 and F-113 in surface and groundwater, in a way that reviews all existing data available to-date, so that agreement can be reached on the purpose and procedures for obtaining additional quality information.

AGENDA

1) Introduction Stewart 2) Status Site OverviewChemicals Detected Mahoney 3) Historical Data • Off-site Sampling • Current Test Results McClusky 4) Test Development Limits and Guidelines McClusky Experimental Station · CH2M Hill Proposed Sampling Plan
• Hydrogeologic Data
- Plant 5) Vandel1 - Off-site Sampling Locations

C-8 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- GROUNDWATER FLOW REVIEW
- OVERVIEW OF HISTORICAL CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
- RECENT CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
- NEW LUBECK WELL RESULTS



ATTACHMENT 3 MIKE MCCKITTE

MPLING (MARCH - JUNE 1984)) oke tim
		C-8 PPB(0.6 LIMIT)
	•	(
0.2	25 DOWN	`
0.2	25 DOWN	1.2,1.0
0.2	5 DOWN	1.5
3	DOWN	0.8,0.6
		<
		<
50		. (
74	DOWN	(
79	DOWN	
	0.3 0.3 0.3 12 14 29 50	12 DOWN 14 DOWN 29 DOWN 50 DOWN 74 DOWN

^(*) NEAREST COMMUNITY TO TAKE HATER DIRECTLY FROM OHIO RIVER.

TEST WELL #27 6/ 4/87 5/11/88 11/ 4/88 5/ 4/89 8/ 1/89 10/24/89 2/27/90 4/20/90 7/13/90	C-8 PPB 2.0 1.5 1.3 <0.6 1.3 1.5 1.5 1.5
8/ 9/90 1U/19/90	(10 (3.0)
REVISED TEST The 27	(3.0)
1/15/91 4/18/91 7/24/91 8/ 2/91 ADJACENT WELL:MW-4	2.9 } increase man 3.0 be from 5.9 revised feat
5/13/91 8/ 1/91	1.5
HA DRINKING HATER	
3/13/87 BLDG 3 11/ 2/88 BLDG 212 5/12/88 BLDG 212 5/ 8/83 BLDG 212	<0.6 <0.6 <0.6 <0.6
Done Raming - suggest sa	Jeling w331 m Ent

*-

C-8 OFF SITE SAMPLING

3/13/87 LUBECK BURNING -	C-8 PP1
3/13/87 LUBECK BUSINESS TAP (2) 5/12/88 LPSD HOME TAP -P 11/ 2/88 LPSD HOME TAP -P 5/ 7/89 LPSD HOME TAP -P	1.9, 1.9 2.2 1.4 0.7
5/23/91 LPSD HOME TAP -M 5/29/91 LPSD HOME TAP -C 8/8/91 LPSD HOME TAP -M	3.8 3.8 3.9

3/13/87 VIENNA HOME TAP -M 3/13/87 LITTLE HOCKING BUSINESS TAP 5/12/88 LITTLE HOCKING HOME TAP -R	<0.6 <0.6 <0.6
11/28/90 LUBECK PRIVATE WELLS (2) , mean men	10 6 10 6
8/ 9/91 LUBECK PRIVATE WELLS (2) Will field	<0.6,<0.6 <1.0,<1.0

6/23/91 NEW LUBECK HELL	2.4 (*)
(*) CH2MHILL CONFIRMED "PRESENCE" OF C-8	

ATTACHMENT 4 MIKE MCCKLUSKY

C-8 HUMAN EXPOSURE

LIMITS

UG/M3

TLV (3M)

AEL (DUPONT)

CEG (AIR, HATER)

HASKELL ESTABLISHED: 8 UG C-8 PER 24 HOURS

80% BY AIR 6.4 UG/ 20M3 = 0.32 OR 0.3 UG/M3

20% BY WATER 1.6 UG/ 2 L = 0.80 OR 1 PPB

OUTSIDE CONTRACT LAB: CH2MHILL \$23M AUTH TO PROVIDE 0.1 PPB C-8 IN WATER ANALYSIS

1. HYDROGEOLOGY :

SAND & GRAVEL AQUIFER, ON-SITE 65-100 FT DEEP; OFF-SITE AT NEW LUBECK WELLFILED, 15-65 FT DEEP, YIELDS OF SEVERAL HUNDRED GPM. WELLS DRILLED VIA CABLE TOOL RIG, DEVELOPED @ SEVERAL HUNDRED GPM, 6 TO 32 HRS EACH.

2. C-8 TIME OF TRAVEL IN GW:

ON-SITE, TO LUBECK WELLS, 5 YRS. OFF-SITE TO NEW LUBECK WELLS, 49-117 YRS.

3. PERCENTAGE OF C-8 IN OHIO RIVER:

20,000 LBS/YR C-8/ 16,000 CFS = .000634 LBS/SEC / 623607 LBS/SEC = .000000001, OR 1 PPB

4. C-8 & FREON 113 SAMPLING PLAN: REQUIRED BY MIKE DEAK, CORPORATE SHEA MANAGER (AUGUST 14, 1991), TO RESAMPLE NEW LUBECK WELLS, OLD LUBECK WELLS, & RIVER WATERS. PURPOSE: TO "VERIFY" THE PRESENCE, EXTENT AND PATH /OR THE ABSENCE, OF C-8 OFF-SITE, "ASAP".

1:000255

